
RESILIENT THROUGH AGRICULTURE IN SOUTH SUDAN (RASS) ACTIVITY.



PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The program seeks to improve food security and community and household recovery and resilience, thereby reducing long-term reliance on humanitarian assistance.

PROGRAM COMPONENTS



WAGE EMPLOYMENT FACILITATION



MARKET LINKS





FINANCIAL SERVICES FACILITATION

SUPPORT







COUNTRY South Sudan

REGION Sub-Saharan Africa

LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

DAI Global, LLC, CARE International (CARE), International Fertilizer Development Center (IFDC) and Waterfield Design Group (WDG)

TYPE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY NGO

START DATE 09/01/2021

END DATE 09/01/2025

P-CODE (WB PROGRAMS) DNA

GLOBAL PRACTICE (WORLD BANK) Non-WB



COUNTRY INFORMATION

LENDING CATEGORY (WB ONLY) IDA

FCV COUNTRY (WB FY24 LIST) Yes

TOTAL POPULATION (MILLION) 10.75

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (NPL) (%) 82.30%

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (\$2.15/DAY (2017 PPP)) (%) 67.30%


DO PARTICIPANTS ACCESS COMPONENTS IN A SPECIFIC SEQUENCE?



DIGITIZATION

COMPONENTS DELIVERED DIGITALLY

	SKILLS TRAINING





Sex

Poverty status

Behavioral attributes

Random allocation

PARTICIPANT **IDENTIFICATION METHODS**

Community-based targeting

Geographic targeting

Other targeting method

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (MPI) (%) 91.86%

NO. ECONOMIC INCLUSION PROGRAMS IN THE COUNTRY 7

NO. BENEFICIARIES (DIRECT & INDIRECT) OF ECONOMIC INCLUSION PROGRAMS IN THE COUNTRY

197,442

RESILIENT THROUGH AGRICULTURE IN SOUTH SUDAN (RASS) ACTIVITY. PLANNED RESEARCH PROGRAM COVERAGE AND EVALUATION **EVALUATION/RESEARCH TYPES** PERCENTAGE FEMALE PARTICIPANTS Process evaluation DIRECT PARTICIPANTS Institutional assessments 8,000 50,400 51-75% of Economic evaluation Impact evaluation participants IMPACT EVALUATION TOPICS **Overall impact** Cost effectivness Share of country population (%) : 0.47 Area/s : Mix (urban & rural) Spillovers and general equilibrium Share of poor (national poverty line) (%) : 0.57 Geographic coverage : Several states/regions effects Scalable delivery modalities Dynamics over time INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS Optimal combination of components Impact for different population groups ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTATION PROVIDING FINANCING Applicablity to other settings National/central government Resilience and shocks responsiveness Regional/district government Timing, sequencing, and intensity of components Local/municipal government NAME RESEARCH PARTNERS • Non-governmental organization To be determined (TBD) Community DATE WHEN IMPACT EVALUATION Financial Service Provider **RESULTS AVAILABLE** World Bank To be determined (TBD) Multilateral (not WB) Data submitted as of 04/13/2023, through Bilateral organization PEI's Landscaping Survey. Private sector organization For more information on the survey click here.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

COMPONENTS DELIVERED THROUGH COMMUNITY

Transfer

- Business capital
- Wage employment facilitation
- Skills training
- Coaching
- Financial services facilitation
- Market links

COMMUNITY STRUCTURES LEVERAGED FOR PROGRAM DELIVERY

- Informal community groups
- Formal community groups
- Local governance groups
- Community individuals
- Other community structures



DNA: Does Not Apply; FY: Fiscal Year; FCV: Fragility, Conflict, and Violence; MPI: Multidimensional Poverty Index; NPL: National Poverty Line; N/A: Not available; WB: World Bank